Enhancing sodium-ion battery performance with interlayer-expanded MoS$_2$-PEO nanocomposites
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Abstract
The larger ionic radius of Na ion (1.06 Å) compared with that of Li ion (0.76 Å) is a fundamental reason for the inferior diffusion kinetics of Na ion in intercalation hosts. Here we report interlayer expansion of intercalation hosts as a general strategy to facilitate the solid-state diffusion of Na ions. Based on this strategy, poly(ethylene oxide)-intercalated MoS$_2$ composites (PEO-MoS$_2$) were synthesized via a facile exfoliation-restacking method and tested as anode materials for Na-ion batteries (NIBs). The interlayer spacing of MoS$_2$ was increased from 0.615 nm to 1.45 nm by insertion of controlled amounts of PEO. The bilayer PEO-intercalated MoS$_2$ composite (PEO$_2$L-MoS$_2$) exhibits a specific capacity of 225 mA h g$^{-1}$ under a current density of 50 mA g$^{-1}$, twice as high as that of commercial MoS$_2$ (com-MoS$_2$), and shows improved rate performance due to enhanced Na-ion diffusivity. The improvement in the electrochemical performance demonstrates that interlayer expansion is an effective strategy for the development of high performance electrode materials for battery technologies based on the storage of large ions.

Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Introduction
Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) with their high energy density have been widely applied in electronic devices and electric vehicles [1,2], but the use of lithium is plagued by high cost and limited resource [3]. Sodium, the low-cost and resource...
abundant sibling of lithium, shares similar intercalation chemistry with lithium in various intercalation hosts and is expected to enable Na-ion batteries (NIBs) as a more robust version of LIBs [4–7]. One major difference of NIBs from LIBs is the larger ionic radius of Na ion (1.06 Å) than that of Li ion (0.76 Å), which may weaken the advantages of NIBs in terms of the lower volumetric energy density, inferior cycling stability, and even higher ion diffusion barrier in the electrode materials [8–10].

Various intercalation hosts well-established for LIBs were examined as electrode materials for NIBs including layered sulfides MS2 (M=transition metals) [11–13], layered oxides A2MO4 (A=Li, Na) [14,15], V2O5 [16], olivine AFePO4 (A=Li, Na) [17], conversion NiCo2O4, Sb2O5 and Co3O4 [18–20] and alloying (Sb, Sn and SnO2) [21–24] reactions based materials [25]. It was found that most of these materials tended to suffer from lower capacity, inferior stability, large volume change and undergo more intermediate phases compared to their LIB counterparts. Therefore, it still remains promising for developing Na intercalation materials if the issue of steric hindrance is addressed.

The inferior kinetics and stability of Na ion storage materials are resulted from the unfavorable compatibility between large intercalating ion and sterically hindered lattice space in host materials. We envision that an increase in lattice spacing of host materials will provide a solution for large-ion storage. Indeed, improved diffusion kinetics was predicted for Li in interlayer-expanded MoS2 [26]. Our hypothesis is further supported by some recent studies that the intercalation capacity for Na storage was increased largely in V2O5 xerogel [27] and expanded graphite [28], both of which have enlarged interlayer spacing, and our recent study that the Mg storage kinetics and stability in MoS2 were improved significantly with progressively expanded interlayer spacing [29]. A very recent study showed that graphene-like MoS2 nanoflowers with expanded interlayers (d=0.67 nm compared to the original 0.62 nm) increased Na storage capacity compared with bulk MoS2 (d=0.62 nm). Although the performance enhancement contributed by reduced particle size and crystallinity was not clearly distinguished from that originated from increased interlayer spacing [30]. We aim to establish a direct relationship between the Na storage behavior and the interlayer spacing of the host without the contributions from other parameters.

Herein we report interlayer expansion as a general material design strategy for layered hosts to tackle the kinetic challenges related to large intercalating cations (e.g. Na ion). MoS2 is chosen as the model host for its proven versatile structure for ion intercalation [29,31–34]. Importantly, the interlayer distance of MoS2 can be tuned in a wide range by inserting a controlled amount of guest species to form intercalation compounds [35,36]. This allows a systematic study of the influence of interlayer distance on electrode performance. In this study, poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) is chosen as the intercalating agent because of its cation-conducting property [37,38]. In addition, PEO-MoS2 has well-known tunability of the interlayer distance in intercalation composites resulting in lattice expansions up to 160% [39]. We have synthesized PEO-MoS2 nanocomposites via a facile exfoliation-restacking method. By optimizing the amount of PEO during the synthesis, PEO1L-MoS2 and PEO2L-MoS2 containing one and two layers of PEO between two slabs of MoS2 were achieved, respectively. To make a systematic comparison, commercial MoS2 (com-MoS2), restacked MoS2 (re-MoS2) without PEO, and the two PEO-MoS2 nanocomposites were all tested as NIB anode electrodes. The three composites shared similar morphologies and crystallinity, hence allowing for an unambiguous correlation of interlayer spacing to the capacity of Na storage, cycling stability and ion diffusivity. We found the enlargement of the interlayer spacing increased the diffusivity of Na-ion by up to two orders of magnitude, resulting in more than doubled capacity (225 vs 113 mA h g−1), as well as improved rate capability and cycling stability.

**Experimental**

**Preparation of re-MoS2 and PEO-MoS2 nanocomposites**

Commercially available MoS2 (2 g) was put into n-butyl-lithium (2.5 M, 12.5 mL) in Schlenk flask inside an Ar-filled glovebox and stirred overnight at room temperature. The mixture was filtrated, washed with hexane for three times, and dried under vacuum at room temperature to form lithiated MoS2 (LiMoS2). LiMoS2 (2 g) was poured into deionized water (200 mL) and the mixture was sonicated for 1 h and stirred for another 3 h to form a colloid. PEO-MoS2 composites were obtained by mixing 0.07 and 0.27 g of PEO (Aldrich, Mw=100,000 Da) in H2O (100 mL) with the MoS2 colloid (100 mL) to afford PEO1L-MoS2 and PEO2L-MoS2, respectively. The re-MoS2 and PEO-MoS2 composites were obtained by centrifugation, washing with deionized water for three times, and freeze-drying.

**Characterizations**

X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurement was performed on a Rigaku MiniFlex 600 with Cu Kα radiation (λ=1.5406 Å). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were collected with Gemini LEO 1525 microscopy. Transmission electron microscopy images (TEM) were recorded using a JEOL 2100 F. Weight percentage of PEO in PEO-MoS2 composites was calculated by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) using a thermogravimetric analyzer of TA instruments Q50. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was measured by a Physical Electronics Model 5700 XPS instrument. The electrochemical properties were tested by assembling CR2032 coin cells in an Ar-filled glovebox with sodium metal as the anode and 1 M sodium trifluoromethanesulfonate (NaCF3SO3) in diethylene-glycol dimethyl ether (DEGDME) (Sigma Aldrich, anhydrous) as electrolyte. The working electrodes were prepared by mixing 70% of active material, 20 wt% of Super-P carbon, and 10 wt% of polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF). The above materials were mixed in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone, and the resulted slurry was spread on stainless steel foil and dried at 75 °C in vacuum for 10 h. Typical loading of the active materials is about 1.0 mg cm−2. Galvanostatic tests were conducted on a battery testing system (LAND CT2001A). Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and galvanostatic intermittent titration technique (GITT) were measured on an electrochemical workstation (EC-Lab VMP3). Specific capacity was calculated based on the weight of MoS2.
Results and discussion

As illustrated in Fig. 1, re-MoS 2 and PEO-MoS 2 composites were synthesized based on a facile restacking strategy, which has been described in literature [39]. LiMoS 2 was first synthesized from com-MoS 2 by lithiation with n-butylithium. When poured into deionized water, LiMoS 2 was oxidized by H 2 O, leading to exfoliation of the bulk LiMoS 2 into single MoS 2 layers. Direct washing and drying formed re-MoS 2. By adding the single-layered MoS 2 suspension to a PEO solution, PEO molecules will adsorb on the surface of MoS 2 layers. After washing and drying, composites containing different amount of PEO in-between MoS 2 layers were obtained. The reaction equations are as follows:

\[ \text{Li}_x\text{MoS}_2+y\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Li}_{x-y}\text{MoS}_2+y\text{LiOH}+y/2\text{H}_2 \]  
\[ \text{Li}_{x-y}\text{MoS}_2+z\text{PEO} \rightarrow \text{Li}_{x-y}\text{MoS}_2(\text{PEO})_z \]

To compare the crystal structure of com-MoS 2, re-MoS 2, PEO 1L-MoS 2 and PEO 2L-MoS 2, XRD analyses were conducted. As shown in Fig. 2a, the diffraction peak located at 14.4° for com-MoS 2 is implicative of the interlayer distance of MoS 2 along the c-axis, which is 0.615 nm. After the exfoliation-restack process, the corresponding peak for re-MoS 2 becomes broadened and weakened in intensity, suggesting a less ordered structure of re-MoS 2. The peak position also slightly shifts to a lower angle of 13.9°, corresponding to a larger interlayer distance of 0.633 nm. With a small amount of PEO intercalated, the distance is increased to 1.19 nm, which is further enlarged to 1.45 nm with the insertion of more PEO. The distances of 1.19 and 1.45 nm respectively correspond to mono- and bilayer PEO intercalation according to the literature [39,40]. PEO 2L-MoS 2 exhibits three well-defined peaks at 6.1°, 12.2° and 18.3°, corresponding to the (0 0 1), (0 0 2) and (0 0 3) reflections, respectively. In the case of PEO 1L-MoS 2, due to a smaller interlayer spacing compared with PEO 2L-MoS 2, the (0 0 1), (0 0 2), and (0 0 3) reflection peaks shift to larger angles of 7.4°, 14.9°, and 22.7° (the latter falls out of the angle range shown in Fig. 2a). Note that several different ratios of PEO have been tried to obtain various interlayer distances, but only two distances that we have reported in this work could be obtained. The addition of more than 0.27 g of PEO cannot generate an interlayer distance larger than the 1.45 nm in PEO 2L-MoS 2, and a mass between 0.07 and 0.27 g cannot generate an interlayer spacing between 1.19 and 1.45 nm either, which are consistent with literature results [40]. This may be due to the fact that PEO only exists between MoS 2 layers as mono- or bi-layer fillers, leaving the excess amount of PEO washed away by water.

TGA analysis of re-MoS 2, PEO 1L-MoS 2 and PEO 2L-MoS 2 are shown in Fig. 2b. The weight loss profile for re-MoS 2 is characterized by a gradual loss between room temperature and 100 °C, followed by a steep loss until 350 °C, corresponding to the loss of 0.21 mol of adsorption water and 1.48 mol of structural water per mole of MoS 2, respectively. PEO 1L-MoS 2 and PEO 2L-MoS 2 respectively show 9.3 wt% and 21.0 wt% of weight loss due to the decomposition of PEO. The XPS data in Fig. 2d reveals the phase composition of the restacked MoS 2. For re-MoS 2, additional Mo 3d peaks at 228.5 eV and 231.65 eV appear, and S 2p spectra also shows additional S 2p 3/2 and S 2p 1/2 peaks. All new peaks from Mo 3d and S 2p spectra can be attributed to 1T MoS 2, where Mo is octahedrally coordinated to six S atoms in a trigonal prismatic geometry (Fig. 2c). For re-MoS 2, new peaks for 1T MoS 2 are observed, indicating that PEO intercalation does not alter the phase composition of the restacked MoS 2.

Fig. 3a-d show the SEM images of com-MoS 2, re-MoS 2, PEO 1L-MoS 2 and PEO 2L-MoS 2. There is significant difference between com-MoS 2 and three synthesized composites. While com-MoS 2 is composed of particles with the diameter of a few micrometers, re-MoS 2, PEO 1L-MoS 2 and PEO 2L-MoS 2 exhibit two-dimensional laminar structures with more than 20 μm in size. Fig. 3e-h shows the high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images of the four samples where the interlayer...
distance of MoS2 along the c-axis can be clearly observed. The pristine com-MoS2 has the most ordered layered structure with the smallest interlayer spacing. The layered structure of re-MoS2 becomes less ordered and it has slightly increased interlayer distance compared with com-MoS2, agreeing with the broadened and slightly shifted diffraction peak in XRD spectrum. Both PEO1L-MoS2 and PEO2L-MoS2 show less ordered structures and much enlarged interlayer distance (1.1 nm for PEO1L-MoS2 and 1.4 nm for PEO2L-MoS2), which are in accordance to those calculated from XRD spectra. Note that the backbone of PEO can be observed in the TEM image for PEO1L-MoS2 (Fig. 3g, highlighted with yellow arrows), which is a direct evidence of the presence of PEO between MoS2 layers. The similar morphology, size, and crystallinity for re-MoS2, PEO1L-MoS2 and PEO2L-MoS2 make the interlayer distance the unique variable in contribution to the difference in electrochemical performance.

Fig. 4 displays the CV curves at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s\(^{-1}\) and the charge-discharge curves at a current density of 50 mA g\(^{-1}\) for the four samples for the first, second, and fifth cycles. Because conversion reaction of MoS2 starts at 0.4 V vs Na/Na\(^+\) and leads to poor cycling stability [12,30]. The lower cut-off voltage was thus set to 0.4 V. The first-cycle CV curve of com-MoS2 shows two major sharp reduction peaks at 0.91 and 0.65 V and three oxidation peaks at 1.30, 1.52 and 2.34 V (Fig. 4a), which agrees with the two well-defined plateaus at 0.92 and 0.68 V and the three plateaus at about 1.30, 1.50, and 2.30 V in the charge curve in Fig. S1a. It is known that during lithium-ion intercalation, MoS2 undergoes a trigonal prismatic (2H)-octahedral (1T) phase transition and displays a well-defined discharge plateau in the first cycle, which fades in subsequent cycles [42,43]. The several CV peaks and plateaus indicate that Na ion storage in MoS2 shows similar phase transitions but in multiple steps. These stepwise phase transitions are likely due to a staging process, which describes the scenario that certain interlayer regions of MoS2 are filled with Na ions whereas others are completely vacant [44]. This staging process can help minimize the energy for opening up the vdW gap between layers and is common for layered transition metal sulides for storage of large ions [44,45]. For example, previous studies on alkaline ion intercalation into TiS2 show that no staging phenomenon was observed for Li
intercalation, while staging was observed for intercalation of larger cations such as Na and K ions [45,46]. The two reduction peaks at 0.95–0.85 and 0.75–0.6 V in the first CV cycle correspond to the phase transitions from pristine MoS2 to the second-stage compound and then the second- to the first-stage compound, respectively, while the oxidation peaks correspond to the reverse process. These peaks in CV and plateaus in charge-discharge curves become less

Fig. 3 (a–d) SEM images of the morphology of com-MoS2, re-MoS2, PEO1L-MoS2 and PEO2L-MoS2. (e–h) HRTEM images show the cross-sectional view of the interlayer spacing in four samples.

Fig. 4 Cyclic voltammograms of (a) com-MoS2, (b) re-MoS2, (c) PEO1L-MoS2 and (d) PEO2L-MoS2 with scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹ and sodium metal as the counter and reference electrodes at the 1st, 2nd and 5th cycle.
obvious and even disappear in the subsequent cycles. This phenomenon is characteristic of irreversible phase transitions as reported in the literature [12]. For re-MoS₂, two cathodic peaks at 0.94 and 0.66 V were observed without well-defined anodic peaks (Fig. 4b). The presence of cathodic peaks indicate that even though the vdW gap between MoS₂ layers has been opened up during the synthesis of re-MoS₂, the restacked layers still require energy to reopen the vdW gap and result in staging during Na intercalation, albeit less evident than that for com-MoS₂. PEO₁L-MoS₂ shows even less well-defined peaks in CV (Fig. 4c) compared with re-MoS₂, and PEO₂L-MoS₂, for the first cycle, exhibits no well-defined peak at all (Fig. 4d). These behaviors indicate that the insertion of PEO can effectively mitigate the interlayer interaction of MoS₂, thus resulting in minimum energy to open the vdW gap and hence little reliance on a staging process. On the other hand, the activation stage is only observed for PEO₂L-MoS₂. When tested at a higher current density of 0.5 A g⁻¹, PEO₂L-MoS₂ exhibited Coulombic efficiency of more than 99% after activation and specific capacity of 140 mA h g⁻¹ with slow decay (Fig. S3). The rate performance of the four samples is shown in Fig. 5c. The specific capacities of PEO₂L-MoS₂ were 185 (after activation), 162, 143, 127 and 112 mA h g⁻¹ under current densities of 50, 100, 250, 500 and 1 A g⁻¹, respectively, which are higher than those of other three samples. At 1 A g⁻¹, the capacity for PEO₂L-MoS₂ is three times as high as that of com-MoS₂. The enhanced rate performance indicates that expanded interlayer distance can result in faster electrode kinetics.

To investigate the effect of interlayer distance on the diffusivity of Na ion in MoS₂, GITT was employed to probe the diffusivity of Na ion [47,48]. Fig. 6a shows the GITT curve of PEO₂L-MoS₂ after activation. During the GITT measurement, the cell was discharged or charged at a current density of 50 mA g⁻¹ for 10 min and then rested for 30 min to reach the steady-state voltage (Eₛ) (Fig. 6b). The Na ion diffusivity D_GITT can be obtained via the formula [47],

$$D_{GITT} = \frac{4}{\pi \tau} \left( \frac{m_B V_M}{M_B S} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\Delta E_s}{\Delta E_t} \right)^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\tau$ denotes the constant current pulse time, $m_B$, $M_B$ and $V_M$ are the mass, molar weight and molar volume of PEO₂L-MoS₂, respectively, $S$ is the area of the electrode-electrolyte interface, $\Delta E_s$ is the change of $E_s$ obtained by subtracting the original voltage ($E_0$) from the steady-state voltage ($E_s$), and $\Delta E_t$ is the total change of cell voltage during a constant current pulse excluding the IR-drop. Fig. 6c shows the plot of Na ion diffusivity as a function of Na ion concentration ($x$) in Na₂MoS₄ of all four samples during discharge process. At low Na concentration
Diffusivity measured in the charge process also falls within the range of 10^-10 - 10^-13 cm^2 s^-1. Beyond x=0.4, the diffusivity for com-MoS2 drops significantly and finally reaches 2.02 × 10^-13 cm^2 s^-1 at x=0.68, at which point Na intercalation stopped. Diffusivities of both re-MoS2 and PEO1L-MoS2 decrease slower than com-MoS2 and eventually reached 10^-12 cm^2 s^-1 at x=1.0. Na ion diffusivity in PEO2L-MoS2 shows the slowest decrease and is at 2.78 × 10^-12 cm^2 s^-1 with x being 1.34. Diffusivity measured in the charge process also falls within the range of 10^-10 - 10^-12 cm^2 s^-1, similar to the discharge process (Fig. S4). All these results indicate that interlayer expansion is an effective strategy to improve the diffusion kinetics of Na ion in MoS2.

Based on the above results, we can conclude that the improvement in electrode performance of MoS2 for NIBs is the result of expanded interlayer spacing. Our study differs from previous reports in that the samples synthesized herein have similar particle size and crystallinity, allowing for the establishment of an unambiguous relationship between the Na storage behavior and the interlayer spacing of the host. For example, particle-downsizing alone is known to be an effective method to shorten the ion diffusion length in electrode materials and increase the apparent ion diffusivity, therefore it is necessary to exclude the contribution from particle size when the influence of interlayer spacing is studied [49]. Similarly, the degree of crystallinity is another key parameter that makes a considerable difference on the electrochemical behaviors and could obscure conclusions if not properly considered [50]. The similar particle size and crystallinity of our samples equalize the possible influence coming from the two parameters. This makes it possible to prove that it is the expanded interlayer spacing that improves electrode performance. Importantly, our strategy does not seem to be host-specific and could be applied to other hosts. Numerous redox active layered materials have been reported to form interlayer-expanded intercalation compounds with molecules, polymers, clusters and metals [51-54]. Therefore, our strategy will lead to a wide range of interesting electrode materials for large-ion intercalation chemistry.

**Conclusions**

In summary, PEO-MoS2 nanocomposites have been synthesized via a facile exfoliation-restacking method to tune the interlayer spacing of MoS2. They serve as a model compound to demonstrate our interlayer expansion strategy to address the challenges of large-ion intercalation chemistry. Our results show that with the interlayer distance increases, the capacity of MoS2 increases accordingly. In particular, PEO2L-MoS2 with a 160% increase in interlayer distance exhibits a specific capacity of 210 mA h g^-1 under a current density of 50 mA g^-1, more than twice as high as that of com-MoS2, as well as improved rate performance and cycling stability. The increased Na-ion diffusivity in interlayer-expanded MoS2 is found to be responsible for the improvement in electrode performance. Such interlayer-expanded MoS2 nanocomposites are promising for the use as anode materials for NIBs. Our interlayer expansion strategy can also be extended to other host materials and pave the way for exploring high performance electrode materials for the storage of large ions.
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